



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,910	07/31/2002	Per-Ake Nygren	2039.004	6328	
7.5	590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Docket Coordinator			CALAMITA, HEATHER		
Wiggin & Dana One Century Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO Box 1832 New Haven, CT 06508-1832			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/031,9	10	NYGREN ET AL.
		Examine		Art Unit
		Heather 0	S. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic			
A SHC THE N - Extens after S - If the - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC sions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. days, a reply within the stat ttory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro olication to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status				•
2a)☐ 3 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is n or allowance except	for formal matters, p	
Dispositio	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-17 is/are pendin a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-17 are subject to	withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
Application	on Papers			
10)□ T / F	The specification is objected to by the line drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be ne correction is requir	oe held in abeyance. S ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) A a) A 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority do Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have bee ocuments have bee the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ation Noved in this National Stage
Attachment(c)		`	
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/031,910

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a method for the selection of polypeptides, classified in class 530,
 subclass 350.
 - II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a molecular library, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the molecular library of group II can be used for gene expression analysis as opposed to its use in polypeptide selection.

Searching the inventions of Groups I and II together would impose serious search burden. The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Moreover, in the instant case, the search for the molecular library and the method for selecting polypeptides are not coextensive. Group I would require a text search of the method steps in addition to the components necessary to complete the steps which are not required for the search of Group II. Further, even if the molecular library were known, the method for selecting polypeptides may be novel and unobvious in view of the preamble or active steps.

The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. As such, it would be burdensome to search any combination of the inventions of Groups I and II together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for each group is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/031,910

Art Unit: 1637

for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. **Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product** will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571.272.2876 and whose e-mail address is heather.calamita@uspto.gov. However, the office cannot guarantee security through the e-mail

Art Unit: 1637

system nor should official papers be transmitted through this route. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 7:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571.272.0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hgc

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER